

DOCS\_SF:76886.1

1 Defendant and claimant, R. Todd Neilson (the "Defendant" or "Trustee"), solely 2 in his capacity as the chapter 11 trustee for the bankruptcy estate of William James Del 3 Biaggio, III (the "Debtor") in Case No. 08-30991 pending in the United States 4 Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of California, San Francisco Division (the "Bankruptcy Court"), hereby: (a) answers the allegations in the *Complaint for* 5 6 Interpleader Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1335 and for Declaratory Relief (the 7 "Complaint") filed by Robert J. Thomas (the "Plaintiff"), in his capacity as the 8 shareholder representative of Legal Systems Holding Company ("Legal Systems"), and 9 (b) submits this statement of claim to the funds in dispute, as follows: 10 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR INTERPLEADER 11 I. **INTRODUCTION** Defendant admits that the above-captioned action has been filed by 12 1. 13 Plaintiff. Except as so admitted, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to 14 form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 1 of the Complaint and on 15 that ground denies the same. 2. 16 Defendant admits that the Warrant was issued by Legal Systems to Sand 17 Hill Capital II., L.P. ("Sand Hill II"), a Delaware limited partnership, and that the 18 Warrant is now exercisable for its cash value. Except as so admitted, Defendant lacks 19

<sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the

DEFENDANT R. TODD NEILSON'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335 AND FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, ETC. (Cause No. 11-cv-00361-RSL) – Page 2

DOCS\_SF:76886.1

Complaint.

BUSH STROUT &
KORNFELD LLP
LAW OFFICES
601 Union Street, #5000
Seattle, Washington 98101-2373
Telephone (206) 292-2110
Facsimile (206) 292-2104

20

21

1 knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in 2 paragraph 2 of the Complaint and on that ground denies the same. 3 3. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Complaint. 4 4. Defendant admits that he asserts an interest in the proceeds of the 5 Warrant on behalf of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate, and that Thomvest Holdings LLC 6 ("Thomvest") asserts a competing interest in the proceeds of the Warrant. Defendant 7 denies that the Debtor has any direct interest to the proceeds of the Warrant as a result 8 of his bankruptcy filing. Defendant is not aware of any other competing claims to the 9 proceeds of the Warrant. Except as so admitted, Defendant lacks knowledge or 10 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 4 of 11 the Complaint and on that ground denies the same. Defendant admits that a determination is needed as to the Trustee's and 12 5. 13 Thomvest's relative rights to the proceeds of the Warrant. Except as so admitted, 14 Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 5 of the Complaint and on that ground denies the same. 15 II. 16 **PARTIES** 17 6. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 6 of the Complaint and on that ground denies 18

Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

21

19

20

DEFENDANT R. TODD NEILSON'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335 AND FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, ETC. (Cause No. 11-cv-00361-RSL) – Page 3

DOCS\_SF:76886.1

the same.

7.

1 8. Defendant denies that he is a resident of California, but admits that he is 2 the court appointed chapter 11 trustee for the Debtor's bankruptcy estate. 3 9. Defendant admits that Thomvest is a Delaware limited liability company. 4 Except as so admitted, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a 5 belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 9 of the Complaint and on that 6 ground denies the same. 7 10. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 8 to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 10 of the Complaint and on that ground 9 denies the same. 10 III. **JURISDICTION AND VENUE** 11 11. Defendant admits that there are two adverse claimants, the Trustee and 12 Thomvest, who are of diverse citizenship and who are claiming an interest in the 13 proceeds of the Warrant. Except as so admitted, Defendant lacks knowledge or 14 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Complaint and on that ground denies the same. 15 16 12. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as 17 to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Complaint and on that ground 18 denies the same. Defendant seeks to transfer venue of this proceeding to the Northern District of California. 19 20 21

DEFENDANT R. TODD NEILSON'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335 AND FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, ETC. (Cause No. 11-cv-00361-RSL) – Page 4 DOCS\_SF:76886.1

19

20

21

## IV. FACTS

- 13. Defendant admits that the Warrant permitted Sand Hill II to purchase 500,000 shares of Legal Systems Common Stock. Except as so admitted, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Complaint and on that ground denies the same.
  - 14. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 14 of the Complaint.
  - 15. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 15 of the Complaint.
- 16. Defendant admits that Thomvest Holdings, Inc. was an investor of Sand Hill II. Except as so admitted, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 16 of the Complaint and on that ground denies the same.
  - 17. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 17 of the Complaint.
- 18. Defendant admits that Sand Hill II was either liquidated or ceased business operations in or about 2005 and that no request to exercise the Warrant was made at the time of the liquidation or cessation of business. Except as so admitted, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 18 of the Complaint and on that ground denies the same.
- 19. Defendant admits that Thomvest sold its interests in Sand Hill Capital Holdings, Inc. ("Holdings") to the Debtor on November 30, 2007. Defendant denies that the Debtor sold any assets to Thomvest on November 30, 2007. Holdings sold its

|

DEFENDANT R. TODD NEILSON'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335 AND FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, ETC. (Cause No. 11-cv-00361-RSL) – Page 5

601 Union Street, #5000 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373 Telephone (206) 292-2110 Facsimile (206) 292-2104

**BUSH STROUT &** 

KORNFELD LLP LAW OFFICES

DOCS\_SF:76886.1

1	interests in certain identified assets not including the Warrant to Thomvest on
2	November 30, 2007.
3	20. Defendant denies that the Debtor sold any assets to Thomvest on
4	November 30, 2007. Holdings sold its interest in various assets not including the
5	Warrant to Thomvest on November 30, 2007.
6	21. Defendant admits that Thomvest alleges that the Warrant was intended to
7	have been among the assets transferred from Holdings to Thomvest on November 30,
8	2007. Defendant denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 21 of the Complaint.
9	22. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 22 of the Complaint.
10	23. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as
11	to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 23 of the Complaint and on that ground
12	denies the same.
13	24. Defendant admits that the cash value of the Warrant is valuable, but
14	Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of
15	the remaining allegations in paragraph 24 of the Complaint and on that ground denies
16	the same.
17	25. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as
18	to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 25 of the Complaint and on that ground
19	denies the same.
20	
21	
I	RUSH STROUT &

1	26.	Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as
2	to the truth o	f the allegations in paragraph 26 of the Complaint and on that ground
3	denies the sa	me.
4	27.	Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as
5	to the truth o	f the allegations in paragraph 27 of the Complaint and on that ground
6	denies the sa	me.
7	28.	Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as
8	to the truth o	f the allegations in paragraph 28 of the Complaint and on that ground
9	denies the sa	me.
10	29.	Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 29 of the Complaint.
11		V. CLAIMS
12		FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF:
12 13		FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF: INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335
	30.	
13		INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335
13 14		INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335  Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to paragraphs 1
13 14 15	through 29 of	INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335  Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to paragraphs 1 f the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
13 14 15 16	through 29 of	INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335  Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to paragraphs 1 f the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.  Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as f the allegations in paragraph 31 of the Complaint and on that ground
13 14 15 16 17	through 29 of 31.	INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335  Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to paragraphs 1 f the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.  Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as f the allegations in paragraph 31 of the Complaint and on that ground
13 14 15 16 17	through 29 or 31. to the truth of denies the satisfactors 32.	INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335  Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to paragraphs 1 f the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.  Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as f the allegations in paragraph 31 of the Complaint and on that ground me.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	through 29 or 31. to the truth of denies the satisfactors 32.	INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335  Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to paragraphs 1 f the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.  Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as f the allegations in paragraph 31 of the Complaint and on that ground me.  Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as f the allegations in paragraph 32 of the Complaint and on that ground

LAW OFFICES 601 Union Street, #5000 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373 Telephone (206) 292-2110

KORNFELD LLP

Facsimile (206) 292-2104

DOCS\_SF:76886.1

1	1   33. Defendant admits that Thomvest and the Trustee assert com	peting claims
2	2 to the proceeds of the Warrant. Except as so admitted, Defendant lacks known	owledge or
3	3 information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in pa	aragraph 33
4	4 of the Complaint and on that ground denies the same.	
5	5   34. Defendant admits that a determination is needed as to the Tr	rustee's and
6	6 Thomvest's relative rights to the proceeds of the Warrant. Except as so add	mitted,
7	7 Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to	the truth of
8	8 the allegations in paragraph 34 of the Complaint and on that ground denies	the same.
9	9 35. Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form	n a belief as
10	0 to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 35 of the Complaint and on that	ground
11	1 denies the same.	
12	2 SECOND CLAIM:	
13	3 DECLARATORY JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. §	2201
13		
	4 36. Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to	
14	4 36. Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to through 35 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.	paragraphs 1
14	<ul> <li>36. Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to</li> <li>through 35 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.</li> <li>37. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 37 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.</li> </ul>	paragraphs 1
14 15 16	36. Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to through 35 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.  37. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 37 of the Comprovided that Defendant seeks to transfer venue of this proceeding to the N	paragraphs 1
14 15 16 17	36. Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to through 35 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.  37. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 37 of the Comprovided that Defendant seeks to transfer venue of this proceeding to the N District of California.	paragraphs 1 mplaint, forthern
14 15 16 17	36. Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to through 35 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.  37. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 37 of the Comprovided that Defendant seeks to transfer venue of this proceeding to the N District of California.  38. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defe	paragraphs 1 mplaint, forthern
114 115 116 117 118	36. Defendant repeats and realleges each and every response to through 35 of the Complaint as if fully set forth herein.  37. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 37 of the Comprovided that Defendant seeks to transfer venue of this proceeding to the N District of California.  38. Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defendant admits the allegations in paragraph 38 of the Comprovided that Defe	paragraphs 1 mplaint, forthern

## 1 FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 2 (Inconvenient Venue) 3 The Complaint, and each purported cause of action against Defendant set forth 4 therein, should be transferred to the Northern District of California (and referred to the Bankruptcy Court) as a more convenient forum and in the interest of justice pursuant to 5 6 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a), 1412. 7 SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 8 (Violation of Automatic Stay) 9 To the extent that any competing claimant exercises rights against the proceeds 10 of the Warrant that constitute property of the Debtor's estate, such conduct is null and 11 void absent relief from the automatic stay in the Debtor's bankruptcy case pursuant to 12 11 U.S.C. § 362. 13 THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 14 (Reservation of Right to Supplement) 15 Defendant reserves the right to amend this Answer and assert such additional 16 defenses as might be discovered following further investigation of the matters set forth 17 in the Complaint. 18 STATEMENT OF CLAIM TO FUNDS IN DISPUTE 19 1. The Trustee is the duly-appointed chapter 11 trustee for the Debtor's 20 estate in a case pending before the Bankruptcy Court with all of the rights afforded 21 under title 11 of the United States Code, including 11 U.S.C. § 544. **BUSH STROUT &**

DEFENDANT R. TODD NEILSON'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335 AND FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, ETC. (Cause No. 11-cv-00361-RSL) – Page 9

DOCS\_SF:76886.1

1 2. The Trustee, on behalf of the Debtor's estate, is entitled to the entirety of 2 the proceeds of the Warrant. 3 3. In 2002, the Warrant was issued by Legal Systems to one of the Debtor's 4 investment companies, Sand Hill II. The Warrant was subsequently transferred to, and 5 is currently held by, Holdings. 6 4. On November 30, 2007, Thomvest and Holdings entered into a *Stock* 7 Purchase and Sale Agreement Between Sand Hill Capital Holdings, Inc. and Thomvest 8 Holdings LLC (the "Sale Agreement"). A copy of the Sale Agreement is attached 9 hereto and incorporated herein as **Exhibit 1**. 10 5. Pursuant to the Sale Agreement, Holdings sold certain specified assets 11 consisting of "cash, stock and warrants" listed on Exhibit A to the Sale Agreement to 12 Thomvest. 13 6. The Warrant was not listed as one of the assets sold by Holdings to 14 Thomvest under the Sale Agreement. 7. 15 The Warrant was retained by Holdings from and after execution and 16 consummation of the Sale Agreement. 17 8. Concurrently with execution of the Sale Agreement, Thomvest agreed to 18 sell the equity interests in Holdings to the Debtor. The sale is evidenced by a *Stock* 19 Purchase and Sale Agreement -- Sale of Sand Hill Capital Holdings, Inc. from Thomvest 20 Holdings LLC to William J. Del Biaggio III, dated November 30, 2007 ("Stock Purchase 21 Agreement"). A copy of the Stock Purchase Agreement is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

DEFENDANT R. TODD NEILSON'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335 AND FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF, ETC. (Cause No. 11-cv-00361-RSL) – Page 10 DOCS\_SF:76886.1

1	9. The Stock Purchase Agreement provides that the only asset of Holdings
2	as of the date of the agreement is identified on Exhibit A thereto: a loan from Holdings
3	to a third party that had been written off.
4	10. The Stock Purchase Agreement contemplates that there may be
5	additional assets that were not sold to Thomvest and that were retained by Holdings.
6	11. Thomvest, Holdings and the Debtor knew and understood when the
7	Stock Purchase Agreement was executed that not all assets of Holdings had been
8	conveyed to Thomvest.
9	12. The Stock Purchase Agreement provides that the purchase price between
10	Thomvest and the Debtor for the equity interests in Holdings would remain open until
11	November 30, 2008, to the extent that "any additional value [is] received or due to Sand
12	Hill Capital Holdings, Inc. in connection with assets that are not specifically listed in
13	Exhibit A but which were owed to, held by, or rightfully due Sand Hill Capital
14	Holdings, Inc. as of [November 30, 2007]."
15	13. The date November 30, 2008 was defined in the Stock Purchase
16	Agreement as the "Final Adjustment Date."
17	14. The Stock Purchase Agreement provides that "any payments or other
18	value received or realized" in connection with any additional assets of Holdings prior to
19	the Final Adjustment Date would be delivered to Thomvest.
20	
21	
ı	Bush Strout &

1	15.	On June 6, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary
2	petition for re	elief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code in the
3	Bankruptcy (	Court.
4	16.	Later in June 2008, the Trustee was appointed as the chapter 11 trustee of
5	the Debtor's	bankruptcy case.
6	17.	The Warrant was not identified by either Thomvest or the Debtor until
7	2010, when F	Plaintiff informed Thomvest and the Trustee of the availability of proceeds
8	on account of	f the Warrant.
9	18.	Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Debtor became the sole
10	equity holder	of Holdings.
11	19.	As of just prior to the Petition Date, the Debtor remained the sole owner
12	of the stock of	of Holdings and thereby continued to retain all legal and equitable interests
13	in the Warrar	nt.
14	20.	Since the Petition Date, the Trustee, on behalf of the Debtor's estate, has
15	succeeded to	all interests of the Debtor in Holdings.
16	21.	All proceeds of the Warrant constitute property of the Debtor's estate
17	under 11 U.S	.C. § 541.
18	WHE	REFORE, Defendant prays for the following relief:
19		1. A determination that the Trustee, on behalf of the Debtor's estate,
20	holds the sole	e and exclusive right to the proceeds of the Warrant;
21		

DOCS\_SF:76886.1

1	2. An order directing that the entirety of the proceeds of the Warrant
2	be distributed to the Trustee, on behalf of the Debtor's estate; and
3	3. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and
4	proper.
5	DATED this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011.
6	BUSH STROUT & KORNFELD LLP
7	DOSTISTROOT & RORIVI LED LLI
8	By/s/ Gayle E. Bush
9	Gayle E. Bush, WSBA #07318
10	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
11	
12	By/s/ Maxim B. Litvak
13	Maxim B. Litvak, CA Bar #215852 150 California St., 15th Fl.
14	San Francisco, CA 94111-4500 Phone: (415) 263-7000
15	Attorneys for Defendant, R. Todd Neilson, solely in his
16	capacity as Trustee of the estate of William Del Biaggio,
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

601 Union Street, #5000 Seattle, Washington 98101-2373 Telephone (206) 292-2110 Facsimile (206) 292-2104

BUSH STROUT &

KORNFELD LLP LAW OFFICES

DOCS\_SF:76886.1

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	PAULA J. SUTTON declares as follows:
3	I hereby certify that on the 19 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011, I caused the foregoing
4	DEFENDANT R. TODD NEILSON'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT FOR INTERPLEADER PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1335 AND FOR DECLARATORY
5	RELIEF; STATEMENT OF CLAIM TO FUNDS IN DISPUTE ("Answer") to be electronically filed using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing
6	to the following persons:
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff:
8	Charles Christian Sipos Michael Haldon Himes
9	PERKINS COIE 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4800
10	SEATTLE, WA 98101-3099  MHimes@perkinscoie.com
11	CSipos@perkinscoie.com
12	That on the 19 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011, I caused copies of the Answer to be mailed, via first-class mail, postage prepaid and sent by facsimile to the following parties:
13 14	Counsel for Defendant, Thomvest Holdings, LLC:
15	Ragesh K. Tangri Facsimile: (415) 236-6300
	Johanna Calabria DURIE TANGRI LLP
16	217 Leidesdorff Street San Francisco, CA 94111
17	Peter J. Benvenutti Facsimile: (415) 875-5700
18	JONES DAY 555 California Street
19	26th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104
20	
21	Bush Strout &

DOCS\_SF:76886.1

1	Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors:
2	Michael H. Ahrens Facsimile: (415) 434-3947
3	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER and HAMPTON LLP 4 Embarcadero Center 17 <sup>th</sup> Fl.
4	San Francisco, CA 94111
5	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the foregoing Proof of Service is true and correct.
6	DATED at Seattle, Washington, this 19 <sup>th</sup> day of May, 2011.
7	DATED at Seattle, washington, this 19 day of May, 2011.
8	/s/ Paula J. Sutton Paula J. Sutton
9	Legal Assistant
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
ı	Rueu Strout &

DOCS\_SF:76886.1